

# DNA BARCODING FOR SPECIES IDENTIFICATION IN MALAYSIAN PROCESSED FISH PRODUCTS

OOI ZHI SIN

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Science

Faculty of Science  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2019

## **DEDICATION**

Specially dedicated to my beloved family, supervisor and friends who have encouraged, guided and inspired me throughout my journey of education.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

For all those who came before, who paved the way, whose footsteps I walk in, and shoulders I stand on.

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude and warmest affection to my supervisor, Dr Faezah binti Mohd Salleh for her guidance, encouragement and gracious support throughout the study. My sincere appreciation for her expertise in the field that motivated me to work in this area and for her faith in me at every stage of this research to accomplish this endeavour. Her unflinching courage, passion and patience will always inspire me and left an impact on me that I will never wear out.

My appreciation also extends to staff, fellow seniors and friends in the Plant Biotechnology Laboratory for their kind endless assistance, generous advices and supports at various occasions throughout this study.

Above ground, I am indebted to my family, whose always value me the importance of courage, kindness, patience and perseverance. These virtues are of great help for me in my present and future life.

## ABSTRACT

Currently, high occurrence of mislabelling and species substitution in fish products either intentionally or unintentionally for profit gain has been reported globally, including Malaysia. Such circumstance has urged for increased traceability of fish and the authenticity of raw material to ensure food safety and quality. DNA barcoding offers a rapid, accurate and cost-effective system for species identification via the use of short, standardized mitochondrial marker genes. This study aimed to investigate the prevalence of mislabelling and substitution among fish products in Malaysia market through DNA barcoding approach by targeting the sequence analysis of mitochondrial cytochrome *b* (*Cytb*) gene and cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I (*COI*) gene. A total of 18 commercial fish products consisting of various processed state were collected from local sources. DNA was successfully extracted from 12 samples (66.67%). Out of the 12 samples, eight samples (66.67%) exhibited successful amplification of *Cytb* barcode (287 bp) by a newly designed primer developed in this study. In contrast, only two *COI* barcodes (~650 bp) from two samples (16.67%) were successfully amplified using fish *COI* universal primer due to its larger amplicon size, suggesting *Cytb* serve as a better DNA barcode marker. In total, 10 barcodes (eight *Cytb* barcodes and two *COI* barcodes) were generated, direct sequenced and compared to BOLD and GenBank database. All products were successfully identified up to species level. The analysis showed that only one (S20) out of eight samples (12.5%) was found to be substituted with a different species (Escolar) which is dangerous for human consumption as it can cause gastrointestinal problems. Furthermore, two eel samples (S17 and S19) were confirmed as threatened species which raise further concern on the trading of processed fish products from the perspective of conservation and highlights the need for the sustainable management of aquatic resources. These findings conclude DNA barcoding as a reliable tool for species identification and suggest *Cytb* could serve as an effective marker for authentication of processed fish products as well as conservation management of fish resources.

## ABSTRAK

Kini, kejadian kesalahan label dan penggantian spesies yang tinggi dalam produk ikan sama ada secara sengaja atau tidak sengaja untuk mengautkan keuntungan telah dilaporkan di seluruh dunia, termasuklah Malaysia. Senario sedemikian telah mendorong keperluan untuk meningkatkan pengesanan ikan dan ketulenan bahan mentah demi memastikan keselamatan dan kualiti makanan. DNA Barcoding menawarkan satu sistem yang pesat, tepat dan kos efektif dalam pengenalpastian spesies melalui penggunaan gen penanda mitokondria yang pendek dan standard. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji kelaziman kesalahan label dan penggantian produk ikan di pasaran Malaysia melalui pendekatan DNA barcoding dengan mensasarkan analisis urutan gen cytochrome *b* (*Cytb*) dan cytochrome *c* oksidase subunit I (*COI*). Sebanyak 18 komersial produk ikan merangkumi pelbagai peringkat pemprosesan telah dikumpulkan dari sumber tempatan. DNA berjaya diekstrak daripada 12 sampel (66.67%). Dari 12 sampel, kod bar *Cytb* (287 bp) berjaya diampifikasi daripada lapan sampel (66.67%) dengan primer yang direka bentuk dalam kajian ini. Sebaliknya, hanya dua barcode *COI* (~ 650 bp) daripada dua sampel (16.67%) berjaya diampifikasikan dengan penggunaan *COI* universal primer ikan disebabkan oleh saiz amplicon yang lebih besar, mencadangkan *Cytb* berfungsi sebagai penanda kod bar DNA yang lebih baik. Secara keseluruhannya, 10 kod bar (lapan *Cytb* dan dua *COI*) telah dihasilkan, diujukan dan dibandingkan dengan pangkalan data BOLD dan GenBank. Semua produk berjaya diidenfikasi ke tahap spesies. Analisis menunjukkan bahawa hanya satu (S20) daripada lapan sampel (12.5%) didapati digantikan dengan spesies lain (*Escolar*) yang berbahaya untuk penggunaan kerana ia boleh menyebabkan masalah sistem gastrousus. Tambahan pula, dua sampel belut (S17 dan S19) telah disahkan sebagai spesies terancam dan hal ini menimbulkan kebimbangan mengenai perdagangan produk ikan yang diproses dari perspektif pemuliharaan selain menekankan keperluan untuk pengurusan sumber air yang mampan. Penemuan ini menyimpulkan DNA barcoding sebagai alat yang boleh dipercayai untuk pengenalpastian spesies dan mencadangkan bahawa *Cytb* berpotensi untuk diaplikasikan sebagai penanda yang berkesan dalam pengesanan produk ikan yang diproses serta pengurusan pemuliharaan sumber ikan.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>ix</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xii</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xiii</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xv</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xvi</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Background of Study	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Objectives of the study	3
1.4	Scope of Study	4
1.5	Significance of the Study	4
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Food Authentication	5
2.1.1	Conventional Authentication	5
2.1.2	Molecular Authentication	6
2.2	DNA Barcoding	7
2.2.1	Barcoding Region	8
2.2.2	DNA Barcode Databases	10
2.2.2.1	DNA Barcode Databases	10
2.2.2.2	NCBI (GenBank)	11

2.3	Mitochondrial Marker- <i>COI</i> and <i>Cytb</i>	11
2.4	Mislabelling and Substitution of Fish Products	14
2.5	DNA Barcoding to Track Down Fish Mislabelling and Substitution	16
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1	Research Design and Procedure	19
3.2	Sample Collection	21
3.3	DNA Extraction	23
3.4	Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)	24
3.4.1	PCR Primers	24
3.4.2	PCR Optimisation	26
3.4.3	PCR Amplification and Sequencing	27
3.5	Qualitative Analysis by Agarose Gel Electrophoresis	27
3.6	Species Identification and Phylogenetic Classification	28
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>29</b>
4.1	DNA Extraction	29
4.1.1	Comparison of DNA Extracted from Different Part of Fish	29
4.1.2	Samples DNA Quality and Fragmentation	31
4.2	Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)	34
4.2.1	PCR Primers	34
4.2.2	PCR Optimisation	36
4.2.3	PCR Amplification and Sequencing	38
4.3	Species Identification	41
4.3.1	GenBank and BOLD Search	41
4.3.2	Multiple Sequence Alignment (MSA) and Phylogenetic analysis	46
4.3.3	Mislabelling and Substitution Effect on Food Safety	50
4.3.4	Critically Endangered Species	52
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>55</b>
5.1	Conclusion	55

5.2	Future Work	55
-----	-------------	----

<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>57</b>
-------------------	-----------



## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	DNA region designated as universal barcode among kingdoms	9
Table 2.2	Studies revealed the mislabelling and/or substitution of fish through DNA barcoding approach	17
Table 3.1	Details on the analysed commercial fish products in this study	22
Table 3.2	Universal fish primers cocktail and their corresponding sequences used to amplify and sequencing <i>COI</i> region in this study	25
Table 3.3	PCR components mixture reaction	26
Table 3.4	Thermal cycle profile for gradient PCR	26
Table 4.1	Comparison of total DNA concentration and purity DNA extracted from various parts of fish	30
Table 4.2	Total DNA concentration and purity of each sample	31
Table 4.3	Details of <i>Cytb</i> designed primer	35
Table 4.4	Optimal annealing temperature of each primer set applied in this study with their respective barcode length	37
Table 4.5	Summary for PCR amplification of <i>COI</i> and <i>Cytb</i> barcode	38
Table 4.6	BLAST and BOLD results from query sequences that were retrieved from the analysed 18 processed fish products	42
Table 4.7	The IUCN red list status for the species identified in this study	53

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	The DNA barcoding pipeline—from unknown specimen to barcode data analysis	9
Figure 2.2	A schematic diagram of a mitochondrial genome based on complete mitochondrial DNA sequences from a variety of bird species, illustrating the location of various mitochondrial genes.	12
Figure 3.1	Research flow chart	20
Figure 4.1	Agarose gel electrophoresis (1% w/v) of DNA extraction from various parts of fish.	30
Figure 4.2	DNA fragmentation of the genomic DNA of samples on 1% (w/v) agarose gel under UV visualization.	32
Figure 4.3	Schematic representation of region amplified by the universal fish primer cocktail (C_FishF1t1/C_FishR1t1), shown within the complete <i>COI</i> gene.	34
Figure 4.4	Schematic representation of region amplified by the <i>Cytb</i> primer designed in this work, shown within the complete <i>Cytb</i> gene.	35
Figure 4.5	DNA electrophoresed on 2% (w/v) agarose gel for gradient PCR of <i>COI</i> primer with various annealing temperature.	36
Figure 4.6	DNA electrophoresed on 2% (w/v) agarose gel for gradient PCR of <i>Cytb</i> designed primer with various annealing temperature.	37
Figure 4.7	PCR amplicons for <i>COI</i> barcode amplification using universal fish primer cocktail electrophoresed on 2% (w/v) agarose gel.	40
Figure 4.8	PCR amplicons for <i>Cytb</i> barcode amplification using designed primer electrophoresed on 2% (w/v) agarose gel.	40
Figure 4.9	Specimen of (a) <i>Anguilla japonica</i> (Japanese eel) and (b) <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> (European eel).	45
Figure 4.10	Multiple sequence alignment of eight samples <i>Cytb</i> barcode sequences against six species <i>Cytb</i> reference sequences from GenBank database.	46

Figure 4.11	Multiple sequence alignment of two samples <i>COI</i> barcode sequences against two <i>COI</i> consensus reference sequences from GenBank database.	47
Figure 4.12	<i>Cytb</i> phylogenetic consensus tree of the samples with validated reference available in GenBank database constructed using NJ tree algorithm.	48
Figure 4.13	<i>COI</i> phylogenetic consensus tree of the samples with validated reference available in GenBank database constructed using NJ tree algorithm.	49
Figure 4.14	Albacore tuna (left) substituted with escolar (right).	51

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AFLP	-	Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism
BLAST	-	Basic Local Alignment Search Tool
BOLD	-	Barcode of Life Database
<i>COI</i>	-	Cytochrome <i>c</i> oxidase subunit I
<i>Cytb</i>	-	Cytochrome <i>b</i>
DNA	-	Deoxyribonucleic acid
FDA	-	Food and Drug Administration
FSQD	-	Food Safety and Quality Division
FINS	-	Forensically Informative Nucleotide Sequencing
FISH-BOL	-	Fish Barcode of Life Initiative
gDNA	-	genomic DNA
HPLC	-	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
iBOL	-	International Barcode of Life Project
IEF	-	Isoelectric Focusing
INSDC	-	International Nucleotide Sequence Database Collaboration
<i>ITS</i>	-	Internal Transcribed Spacer
IUCN	-	International Union for Conservation of Nature
<i>matK</i>	-	Maturase K
MS	-	Mass Spectrometry
mtDNA	-	Mitochondrial DNA
NJ	-	Neighbour-Joining
NCBI	-	National Center for Biotechnology Information
NMR	-	Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
PCR	-	Polymerase chain reaction
RAPD	-	Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA
<i>rbcL</i>	-	Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylate
RLFP	-	Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphisms
SSCP	-	Single-strand Conformation Polymorphism
TAE	-	Tris Acetate EDTA

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

bp	-	Base pair
°C	-	Degree Celsius
Kb	-	Kilo base
μL	-	Microlitre
μM	-	Micromolar
mM	-	Millimolar
M	-	Molar
ng	-	Nanogram
ng/uL	-	Nanogram/microliter
nm	-	Nanometre
%	-	Percent
A <sub>260/280</sub>	-	Ratio of the absorbance at 260 nm and 280 nm
rpm	-	Rotary per minute
X	-	Times
U/μL	-	Unit per microlitre
V	-	Volt
w/v	-	Weight per volume

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	1Kb Plus DNA Ladder (TransGen)	67
Appendix B	100bp Plus II DNA Ladder (TransGen)	68
Appendix C	Multiple Sequence Alignment of 40 Fish Species <i>Cytb</i> Region	69
Appendix D	Chromatogram of S20 (White Tuna Sushi)	73
Appendix E	<i>Cytb</i> Barcode Sequences of Successfully Amplified Samples	74
Appendix F	<i>COI</i> Barcode Sequences of Successfully Amplified Samples	76
Appendix G	Multiple Sequence Alignment of <i>Anguilla rostrata</i> (American eel) and <i>Anguilla anguilla</i> (European Eel)	77



# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background of Study

Currently, food authenticity has been a subject of significant concern to food safety authorities due to growing public awareness regarding both the food security and quality (Danezis *et al.*, 2016). Its importance has been highlighted in recent years by a few high-profile incidences of food fraud involving mislabelling species and substitution in the global supply chain, for instance, detection of horsemeat in frozen beef burgers (Boyaci *et al.*, 2014) and detection of donkey meat in salami (Chin *et al.*, 2016). Nonetheless, besides the anxiety of adulteration in meat product, the increasing mislabelling and substitution of fishery products has also pressing great concern over this issue.

Over several decades, the demand of fish has significantly increased due to its nutritional value as part of healthy diet and soon contributed to the expanding trading activity of fish products for worldwide consumption (Fernandes *et al.*, 2017). However, the globalization of fish trade market along with technological advances in food processing, food handling and food transportation by a global network of operators has made the necessity of ensuring the food authenticity and the source of fishery products essential (Maralit *et al.*, 2013). With the incessant occurrence of mislabelling and species substitution in fish (Filonzi *et al.*, 2010; Galal-Khallaf *et al.*, 2014; Carvalho *et al.*, 2015; Cawthorn *et al.*, 2015; Chin *et al.*, 2016; Chang *et al.*, 2016; Christiansen *et al.*, 2018), especially in imported packaged frozen fishery products or highly processed fish products which are indistinguishable based on morphological features, precautionary measures are thus an indispensably necessary (Filonzi *et al.*, 2010). Moreover, ensuring fish authenticity is a great concern not only to avoid commercial fraud, but also for food security to prevent consumption of fish containing species-specific antigens/allergens or toxic compounds which are



detrimental to human health such as pufferfish (*Tetraodontidae*) that causes tetrodotoxin poisoning (Di Pinto *et al.*, 2016) as well as prevention of illegal exploitation of protected species (Chang *et al.*, 2016).

In recent years, molecular biology approaches based on sequencing, specifically the DNA barcoding method, has received considerable attention as a promising tools in fish species identification with its expert-authenticated verification system and high accuracy (Clark, 2015). Mitochondrial DNA genes have arisen as near-universal markers for this purpose (Armani *et al.*, 2017). The mtDNA fragment of cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I (*COI*) gene or cytochrome *b* (*Cytb*) gene have been widely used as “DNA barcode” for kingdom Animalia discrimination with their high interspecific variation and low intraspecies variation which allow reliable differentiation between species (Hellberg *et al.*, 2017; Filonzi *et al.*, 2010). The launch of Fish Barcode of Life Initiative (FISH-BOL) campaign ([www.fishbol.org](http://www.fishbol.org)) as a global effort to coordinate the collection of a standardised reference DNA sequence library for all known fish species and its continuous update further made the identification of fish through this methods available in a much larger scale (Nedunoori *et al.*, 2017).

Therefore, with the advancement of DNA barcoding approach together with the continuous expanding of barcode database, Barcode of Life Database (BOLD) and GenBank, the present study attempts of utilizing DNA barcoding as a molecular tool to validate the authenticity of fish products and access their level of misdescription based on mitochondrial *COI* and *Cytb* gene marker, a highly standardization and universality marker for all animals in nature and thus enabling specimens to be identified accurately up to species level.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

While fish authentication is crucial, the authenticity test and the identification of species is often challenging. Conventionally, the fish species identification is based on morphological characteristics including size, pattern of scale, body shape, number

of fins, measurements of body part and their relative position (Nedunoori *et al.*, 2017). Nonetheless, this traditional approach possesses a great limitation when distinguishing and analysing samples which has either significant intraspecific variation or small variability between species even if intact fish exemplar is used (Nedunoori *et al.*, 2017). Besides, inspection based on morphological features solely is not suitable when it comes to examining processed fish product such as fish fillet which has lost crucial diagnostic features (Handy *et al.*, 2011).

To counteract the limitation of conventional method, new methods based on molecular genetics has emerged as a more reliable method to apply widely in species identification (Filonzi *et al.*, 2010). Although protein isoelectric focusing (IEF) has been recommended by U.S Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for fish species identification, this technique has limitation in term of not effective for degradative and highly processed specimens (Handy *et al.*, 2011). Hence, DNA barcoding, a species-specific sequence based molecular technique applicable to all kind of products, at the same time, exhibits remarkable accuracy has arisen to be a reliable alternative to address the limitations previous methods encountered.

### **1.3 Objectives of the study**

The objectives of the research are:

- (a) To extract genomic DNA (gDNA) from processed fish products.
- (b) To amplify the mitochondrial DNA (*Cytb* and *COI* gene) from various processed fish products.
- (c) To verify the molecular results of products in species level via bioinformatics analysis.

## REFERENCES

- Abbas, O., Zadavec, M., Baeten, V., Mikuš, T., Lešić, T., Vulić, A., Prpić, J., Jemeršić, L. and Pleadin, J. (2018) 'Analytical methods used for the authentication of food of animal origin', *Food Chemistry*, 246, 6–17.
- Agarwala, R., Barrett, T., Beck, J., Benson, D. A., Bollin, C., Bolton, E., ... Zbicz, K. (2016) 'Database resources of the National Center for Biotechnology Information', *Nucleic Acids Research*, 44(D1), D7–D19.
- Ali, M. A., Gyulai, G., Hidvégi, N., Kerti, B., Al Hemaïd, F. M. A., Pandey, A. K., and Lee, J. (2014) 'The changing epitome of species identification - DNA barcoding', *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, 21(3), 204–231.
- Armani, A., Tinacci, L., Lorenzetti, R., Benvenuti, A., Susini, F., Gasperetti, L., Ricci, E., Guarducci, M. and Guidi, A. (2017) 'Is raw better? A multiple DNA barcoding approach (full and mini) based on mitochondrial and nuclear markers reveals low rates of misdescription in sushi products sold on the Italian market', *Food Control*, 79, 126–133.
- Barbuto, M., Galimberti, A., Ferri, E., Labra, M., Malandra, R., Galli, P., and Casiraghi, M. (2010) 'DNA barcoding reveals fraudulent substitutions in shark seafood products: The Italian case of "palombo" (*Mustelus* spp.)', *Food Research International*, 43(1), 376–381.
- Barcaccia, G., Lucchin, M., and Cassandro, M. (2016) 'DNA barcoding as a molecular tool to track down mislabeling and food piracy', *Diversity*, 8(1).
- Bénard-Capelle, J., Guillonneau, V., Nouvian, C., Fournier, N., Le Loët, K., and Dettai, A. (2015) 'Fish mislabelling in France: substitution rates and retail types', *PeerJ*, 2, e714.
- Benson, D. A., Karsch-Mizrachi, I., Lipman, D. J., Ostell, J. and Wheeler, D. L. (2006) 'GenBank', *Nucleic Acids Research*, 34, D16–D20.
- Benjamin, N., Brown, N. and Vogt, A. (2001) Informational seafood labelling, Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Association. *Fishermen's news*. Accessed 12th august 2010, from <https://pcffa.org/>

- Berry, V., and Gascuel, O. (2000) 'Inferring evolutionary trees with strong combinatorial evidence', *Theoretical Computer Science*, 240(2), 271–298.
- Bevacqua, D., Melià, P., Gatto, M., and De Leo, G. A. (2015) 'A global viability assessment of the European eel', *Global Change Biology*, 21(9), 3323–3335.
- Boore, J. L. (1999) 'Animal mitochondrial genomes', *Nucleic Acids Research*, 27(8), 1767–1780.
- Boyaci, I. H., Temiz, H. T., Uysal, R. S., Velioğlu, H. M., Yadegari, R. J., and Rishkan, M. M. (2014) 'A novel method for discrimination of beef and horsemeat using Raman spectroscopy', *Food Chemistry*, 148, 37–41.
- Carvalho, D. C., Palhares, R. M., Drummond, M. G., and Frigo, T. B. (2015) 'DNA Barcoding identification of commercialized seafood in South Brazil: A governmental regulatory forensic program', *Food Control*, 50, 784–788.
- Cawthorn, D. M., Steinman, H. A., and Witthuhn, R. C. (2012) 'DNA barcoding reveals a high incidence of fish species misrepresentation and substitution on the South African market', *Food Research International*, 46(1), 30–40.
- Cawthorn, D. M., Duncan, J., Kastern, C., Francis, J., and Hoffman, L. C. (2015) 'Fish species substitution and misnaming in South Africa: An economic, safety and sustainability conundrum revisited', *Food Chemistry*, 185, 165–181.
- Chakraborty, M., and Ghosh, S. K. (2014) 'An assessment of the DNA barcodes of Indian freshwater fishes', *Gene*, 537(1), 20–28.
- Chang, C. H., Lin, H. Y., Ren, Q., Lin, Y. S., and Shao, K. T. (2016) 'DNA barcode identification of fish products in Taiwan: Government-commissioned authentication cases', *Food Control*, 66, 38–43.
- Chen, L., Huang, J. R., Dai, J., Guo, Y. F., Sun, J. T., and Hong, X. Y. (2018) 'Intraspecific mitochondrial genome comparison identified CYTB as a high-resolution population marker in a new pest *Athetis lepigone*', *Genomics*.
- Chin, T. C., Adibah, A. B., Hariz, Z. D., and Azizah, M. S. (2016) 'Detection of mislabelled seafood products in Malaysia by DNA barcoding: Improving transparency in food market', *Food Control*, 64, 247–256.
- Christiansen, H., Fournier, N., Hellemans, B., and Volckaert, F. A. M. (2018) 'Seafood substitution and mislabeling in Brussels' restaurants and canteens', *Food Control*, 85, 66–75.
- Clark, L. F. (2015) 'The current status of DNA barcoding technology for species identification in fish value chains', *Food Policy*, 54, 85–94.

- Cline, E. (2012) 'Marketplace substitution of Atlantic salmon for Pacific salmon in Washington State detected by DNA barcoding', *Food Research International*, 45(1), 388–393.
- Cutarelli, A., Amoroso, M. G., De Roma, A., Girardi, S., Galiero, G., Guarino, A., and Corrado, F. (2014) 'Italian market fish species identification and commercial frauds revealing by DNA sequencing', *Food Control*, 37(1), 46–50.
- Danezis, G. P., Tsagkaris, A. S., Camin, F., Brusic, V., and Georgiou, C. A. (2016) 'Food authentication: Techniques, trends & emerging approaches', *Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 85(2016), 123–132.
- Dennis, M. J. (1998) 'Recent developments in food authentication', *The Analyst*, 123(9), 151R–156R.
- Di Pinto, A., Mottola, A., Marchetti, P., Bottaro, M., Terio, V., Bozzo, G., Bonerba, E., Ceci, E. and Tantillo, G. (2016) 'Packaged frozen fishery products: Species identification, mislabeling occurrence and legislative implications', *Food Chemistry*, 194(1169), 279–283.
- European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (2004) 'Opinion of the Scientific Panel on contaminants in the food chain related to the toxicity of fishery products belonging to the family of Gempylidae', *EFSA Journal*, 2(9), 1-5
- Fernandes, T. J. R., Costa, J., Oliveira, M. B. P. P., and Mafra, I. (2017) 'DNA barcoding coupled to HRM analysis as a new and simple tool for the authentication of Gadidae fish species', *Food Chemistry*, 230, 49–57.
- Filonzi, L., Chiesa, S., Vaghi, M., and Nonnis Marzano, F. (2010) 'Molecular barcoding reveals mislabelling of commercial fish products in Italy', *Food Research International*, 43(5), 1383–1388.
- Galal-Khallaf, A., Ardura, A., Mohammed-Geba, K., Borrell, Y. J., and Garcia-Vazquez, E. (2014) 'DNA barcoding reveals a high level of mislabeling in Egyptian fish fillets', *Food Control*, 46, 441–445.
- Galimberti, A., De Mattia, F., Losa, A., Bruni, I., Federici, S., Casiraghi, M., Martellos, S. and Labra, M. (2013) 'DNA barcoding as a new tool for food traceability', *Food Research International*, 50(1), 55–63.
- Giusti, A., Tinacci, L., Sotelo, C. G., Marchetti, M., Guidi, A., Zheng, W. and Armani, A. (2017) 'Seafood identification in multispecies products: Assessment of 16S rRNA, cytb, and COI Universal primers' efficiency as a preliminary

- analytical steps for setting up metabarcoding Next-Generation Sequencing techniques’, *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*, 65(13), 2902-2912.
- Goldstein, P. Z., and DeSalle, R. (2011) ‘Integrating DNA barcode data and taxonomic practice: Determination, discovery, and description’, *BioEssays*, 33(2), 135–147.
- Graham, J. B., and Dickson, K. A. (2004) ‘Tuna comparative physiology’, *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 207(23), 4015–4024.
- Gryson, N. (2010) ‘Effect of food processing on plant DNA degradation and PCR-based GMO analysis: A review’, *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 396(6), 2003–2022.
- Guardone, L., Tinacci, L., Costanzo, F., Azzarelli, D., D’Amico, P., Tasselli, G., Magni, A., Guidi, A., Nucera, D. and Armani, A. (2017) ‘DNA barcoding as a tool for detecting mislabeling of fishery products imported from third countries: An official survey conducted at the Border Inspection Post of Livorno-Pisa (Italy)’, *Food Control*, 80, 204–216.
- Günther, B., Raupach, M. J., and Knebelsberger, T. (2017) ‘Full-length and mini-length DNA barcoding for the identification of seafood commercially traded in Germany’, *Food Control*, 73, 922–929.
- Hajibabaei, M., and McKenna C. (2012) *DNA Mini-barcodes*, in Kress W., Erickson D. (eds) *DNA Barcodes. Methods in Molecular Biology (Methods and Protocols)*. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, 858, 339–353.
- Handy, S. M., Deeds, J. R., Ivanova, N. V., Hebert, P. D. N., Hanner, R. H., Ormos, A., Weigt, L.A., Moore, M.M. and Yancy, H. F. (2011) ‘A single-laboratory validated method for the generation of DNA barcodes for the identification of fish for regulatory compliance’, *Journal of AOAC International*, 94(1), 201–210.
- Hebert, P. D. N., Cywinska, A., Ball, S. L., and DeWaard, J. R. (2003a) ‘Biological identifications through DNA barcodes’, *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 270(1512), 313–321.
- Hebert, P. D. N., Ratnasingham, S., and de Waard, J. R. (2003b) ‘Barcoding animal life: cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 divergences among closely related species’, *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 270(Suppl 1), S96–S99.

- Hebert, P. D. N., Stoeckle, M. Y., ZemLak, T. S., and Francis, C. M. (2004a) 'Identification of birds through DNA barcodes', *PLoS Biology*, 2(10), e312.
- Hebert, P. D. N., Penton, E. H., Burns, J. M., Janzen, D. H., and Hallwachs, W. (2004b) 'Ten species in one: DNA barcoding reveals cryptic species in the neotropical skipper butterfly *Astrartes fulgerator*', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 101(41), 14812–14817.
- Helfman, G. S., Collette, B. B., Facey, D. E., and Bowen, B. W. (2009) *The diversity of fishes: biology, evolution, and ecology*. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. John Wiley & Sons.
- Hellberg, R. S., Hernandez, B. C., and Hernandez, E. L. (2017) 'Identification of meat and poultry species in food products using DNA barcoding', *Food Control*, 80, 23–28.
- Hu, Y., Huang, S. Y., Hanner, R., Levin, J., and Lu, X. (2018) 'Study of fish products in Metro Vancouver using DNA barcoding methods reveals fraudulent labeling', *Food Control*, 94, 38–47.
- Ivanova, N. V., ZemLak, T. S., Hanner, R. H., and Hebert, P. D. N. (2007) 'Universal primer cocktails for fish DNA barcoding', *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 7(4), 544–548.
- Karsch-mizrachi, I., Nakamura, Y., and Cochrane, G. (2012) 'The International Nucleotide Sequence Database Collaboration', *Nucleic Acids Research*, 40, D33–D37.
- Kartavtsev, Y. P., Park, T. J., Vinnikov, K. A., Ivankov, V. N., Sharina, S. N., and Lee, J. S. (2007) 'Cytochrome b (Cyt-b) gene sequence analysis in six flatfish species (Teleostei, Pleuronectidae), with phylogenetic and taxonomic insights', *Marine Biology*, 152(4), 757–773.
- Khaksar, R., Carlson, T., Schaffner, D. W., Ghorashi, M., Best, D., Jandhyala, S., Traverso, J. and Amini, S. (2015) 'Unmasking seafood mislabeling in U.S. markets: DNA barcoding as a unique technology for food authentication and quality control', *Food Control*, 56, 71–76.
- Kress, W. J. and Erickson, D. L. (2007). 'A Two-Locus Global DNA Barcode for Land Plants: The Coding *rbcL* Gene Complements the Non-Coding *trnH-psbA* Spacer Region', *PLOS ONE*, 2(6): e508.
- Li, X., Shen, X., Chen, X., Xiang, D., Murphy, R. W., and Shen, Y. (2018) 'Detection of potential problematic *Cytb* gene sequences of fishes in GenBank', *Frontiers in Genetics*, 9, 1–5.

- Li, X., Yang, Y., Henry, R. J., Rossetto, M., Wang, Y., and Chen, S. (2015) 'Plant DNA barcoding: from gene to genome', *Biological Reviews*, 90(1), 157–166.
- Lo, Y. T., and Shaw, P. C. (2018) 'DNA-based techniques for authentication of processed food and food supplements', *Food Chemistry*, 240, 767–774.
- Lorenz, T. C. (2012) 'Polymerase Chain Reaction: Basic Protocol Plus Troubleshooting and Optimization Strategies', *Journal of Visualized Experiments*, 63, 1–15.
- Macher, J. N., Macher, T. H., and Leese, F. (2017) 'Combining NCBI and BOLD databases for OTU assignment in metabarcoding and metagenomic datasets: The BOLD\_NCBI\_Merger', *Metabarcoding and Metagenomics*, 1, e22262.
- Malaysia (1985) *Food Regulations*. P.U.(A) 437 of 1985.
- Maralit, B. A., Aguila, R. D., Ventolero, M. F. H., Perez, S. K. L., Willette, D. A., and Santos, M. D. (2013) 'Detection of mislabeled commercial fishery by-products in the Philippines using DNA barcodes and its implications to food traceability and safety', *Food Control*, 33(1), 119–125.
- Messing, J. (1983) 'New M13 vectors for cloning', *Methods in enzymology*, 101, 20–78.
- Mitchell, J. K., and Hellberg, R. S. (2016) 'Use of the Mitochondrial Control Region as a Potential DNA Mini-Barcoding Target for the Identification of Canned Tuna Species', *Food Analytical Methods*, 9(10), 2711–2720.
- Mohan, A., Flora, B., Girdhar, M., and Bhatt, S. M. (2018) 'Microbial DNA Barcoding: Prospects for Discovery and Identification', *DNA Barcoding and Molecular Phylogeny*, 89–102.
- Moritz, C., Dowling, T. E., and Brown, W. M. (1987) 'Evolution of animal mitochondrial DNA: relevance for population biology and systematics' *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 18(1), 269–292.
- Nedunoori, A., Turanov, S. V., and Kartavtsev, Y. P. (2017) 'Fish product mislabeling identified in the Russian far east using DNA barcoding', *Gene Reports*, 8, 144–149.
- Ortea, I., O'Connor, G., and Maquet, A. (2016) 'Review on proteomics for food authentication', *Journal of Proteomics*, 147, 212–225.
- Pavan-Kumar, A., Jaiswar, A. K., Gireesh-Babu, P., Chaudhari, A., and Krishna, G. (2018). Applications of DNA Barcoding in Fisheries. *DNA Barcoding and Molecular Phylogeny*, 281–292.



- Porter, T. M., and Hajibabaei, M. (2018) 'Over 2.5 million COI sequences in GenBank and growing', *PLoS ONE*, 13(9), 1–16.
- Raclariu, A. C., Heinrich, M., Ichim, M. C. and de Boer, H. (2018) 'Benefits and limitations of DNA barcoding and metabarcoding in herbal product authentication', *Phytochemical Analysis*, 29(2), 123-128.
- Rasmussen, R. S., and Morrissey, M. T. (2008) 'DNA-Based Methods for the Commercial Fish and Seafood Species', *Comprehensive reviews in food science and food safety*, 7(3), 280-295.
- Ratnasingham, S., and Hebert, P. D. N. (2007) 'BOLD: The Barcode of Life Data System ([www.barcodinglife.org](http://www.barcodinglife.org))', *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 7(3), 355–364.
- Roux, K. H. (1995) 'Optimization and Troubleshooting in PCR', *PCR Methods and Applications*, 4(5), S185–194.
- Saitou, N., and Nei, M. (1987) 'The Neighbor-joining Method: A New Method for Reconstructing Phylogenetic Trees', *Science*, 4(4), 406–425.
- Satoh, T. P., Miya, M., Mabuchi, K., and Nishida, M. (2016) 'Structure and variation of the mitochondrial genome of fishes', *BMC Genomics*, 17(1), 1–20.
- Scarano, D., and Rao, R. (2014) 'DNA markers for food products authentication', *Diversity*, 6(3), 579–596.
- Schoch, C. L., Seifert, K. A., Huhndorf, S., Robert, V., Spouge, J. L., Levesque, C. A., Chen, W., Bolchacova, E., Voigt, K., Crous, P.W. and Miller, A.N. (2012) 'Nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region as a universal DNA barcode marker for Fungi', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(16), 6241–6246.
- Sevilla, R. G., Diez, A., Noren, M., Mouchel, O., Jerome, M., Verrez-Bagnis, V., Pelt, H. V., Favre-Krey, L., Krey, G., and Bautista, J. M. (2007) 'Primers and polymerase chain reaction conditions for DNA barcoding teleost fish based on the mitochondrial cytochrome b and nuclear rhodopsin genes', *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 7(5), 730–734.
- Sharma, N., Thind, S. S., and Sharma, D. (2005) 'Effect of meat processing on genomic dna quality and specific gene amplification', *Journal of Applied Animal Research*, 28(1), 69–72.
- Shen, Y., Kang, J., Chen, W., and He, S. (2016) 'DNA barcoding for the identification of common economic aquatic products in Central China and its application for the supervision of the market trade', *Food Control*, 61, 79–91.

- Shokralla, S., Hellberg, R. S., Handy, S. M., King, I., and Hajibabaei, M. (2015) 'A DNA Mini-Barcoding System for Authentication of Processed Fish Products', *Scientific Reports*, 5, 1–11.
- Sonet, G., Jordaens, K., Braet, Y., Bourguignon, L., Dupont, E., Backeljau, T., De Meyer, M. and Desmyter, S. (2013) 'Utility of GenBank and the Barcode of Life Data Systems (BOLD) for the identification of forensically important Diptera from Belgium and France', *ZooKeys*, 365, 307–328.
- Sorenson, M. D., Ast, J. C., Dimcheff, D. E., Yuri, T., and Mindell, D. P. (1999) 'Primers for a PCR-Based Approach to Mitochondrial Genome Sequencing in Birds and Other Vertebrates', *Molecular phylogenetics and evolution*, 12(2), 105–114.
- Sultana, S., Ali, M. E., Hossain, M. A. M., Asing, Naquiah, N., and Zaidul, I. S. M. (2018) 'Universal mini COI barcode for the identification of fish species in processed products', *Food Research International*, 105, 19–28.
- Taanman, J. W. (1999) 'The mitochondrial genome: structure, transcription, translation and replication', *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics*, 1410(2), 103–123.
- Tobe, S. S., Kitchener, A., and Linacre, A. (2009) 'Cytochrome b or cytochrome c oxidase subunit I for mammalian species identification-An answer to the debate', *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series*, 2(1), 306–307.
- Waterhouse, A. M., Procter, J. B., Martin, D. M. A., Clamp, M., and Barton, G. J. (2009) 'Jalview Version 2 — a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench', *Bioinformatics*, 25(9), 1189–1191.
- Waugh, J. (2007) 'DNA barcoding in animal species: Progress, potential and pitfalls', *BioEssays*, 29(2), 188–197.
- Wilson, A. C., Cann, R. L., Carr, S. M., George, M., Gyllenstein, U. B., Helm-Bychowski, K. M., Higuchi, R. G., Palumbi, S. R., Prager, E. M., Sage, R. D. and Stoneking, M. (1985) 'Mitochondrial DNA and two perspectives on evolutionary genetics', *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 26(4), 375–400.
- Wong, E. H. K., and Hanner, R. H. (2008) 'DNA barcoding detects market substitution in North American seafood', *Food Research International*, 41(8), 828–837.

Wong, L. L., Peatman, E., Lu, J., Kucuktas, H., He, S., Zhou, C., Na-Nakorn, U. and Liu, Z. (2011) 'DNA barcoding of catfish: Species authentication and phylogenetic assessment', *PLoS ONE*, 6(3), 1–7.